

CAP 2023

Francesco G. De Rosa Associate Professor, Infectious Diseases University of Turin, Italy

Fellow, Infectious Diseases Society of America

Consultant/Advisory Board/Speaker fees

- Pfizer, MSD, Angelini
- Thermo Fisher, Shionogi
- BioTest, Nordic Pharma, InfectoPharma
- Gilead Sciences, GSK
- Hikma, Advanz, Correvio
- Tillots, Menarini
- Research grant
 - Pfizer, MSD, Shionogi

Acute-Care Hospitalization for CAP in Canadian Adults >50yo: Most Responsible Diagnosis Tells Only Part of the Story Grajales Beltrán AG et al. Vaccines (Basel) 2023;11(4):748



Acute-Care Hospitalization for CAP in Canadian Adults >50yo: Most Responsible Diagnosis Tells Only Part of the Story Grajales Beltrán AG et al. Vaccines (Basel) 2023;11(4):748



Acute-Care Hospitalization for CAP in Canadian Adults >50yo: Most Responsible Diagnosis Tells Only Part of the Story Grajales Beltrán AG et al. Vaccines (Basel) 2023;11(4):748

- CAP estimates at admission using ICD codes (2009-2019):
 - MRDx: CAP as the most responsible diagnosis
 - **ODx:** CAP as other than most responsible diagnosis
 - Also "Pre-admit comorbidity type 1"
- Reported outcomes:
 - Pneumonia incidence rate, in-hospital mortality
 - Hospital length of stay, cost

• CAP incidence increased from 805.66 to 896.94 per 100,000

- 55-58% of cases had pneumonia coded as ODx
- ODx had longer hospital stays & costs & higher in-hospital mortality
- → The burden of CAP not solely focusing on MRDx

Outcomes of CAP: PSI Vs. CURB-65 in Routine Practice of Emergency Departments Kaal AG et al. ERJ Open Res 2023 May 2;9(3):00051-2023

- Nationwide retrospective cohort Study, Netherlands
- Main outcomes:
 - Hospital admission rates, ICU admissions, length of hospital stay, delayed admissions, readmissions, all-cause 30-day mortality
- 50.984 CAP patients
 - 21157 **CURB-65 hospitals**
 - 17279 PSI hospitals
 - 12548 No-consensus hospitals

• → 30-day mortality

- Significantly lower in CURB-65 hospitals versus PSI hospitals
 - 8.6% and 9.7%, adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 0.89, 95% CI: 0.83-0.96, p=0.003

Practical Severity Assessment Model: CURB 65

Age > 65

 6 point (0-5) score. One each for: Confusion Resp rate Urea Blood Pressure

CURB 65

% Mortality (30 day), points (CURB-65)



Lim et al. Thorax 2003; 58: 377

Outcomes of CAP: PSI Vs. CURB-65 in Routine Practice of Emergency Departments Kaal AG et al. ERJ Open Res 2023 May 2;9(3):00051-2023

	CURB-65 hospital	PSI hospital	No-consensus hospital	Total
Total patients	21 157 (41.5)	17 279 (33.9)	12 548 (24.6)	50 984 (100)
Female patients	10 096 (47.7)	8019 (46.4)	5947 (47.4)	24062 (47.2)
Age years	72 (60–81)	72 (60–81)	72 (61–82)	72 (60–81)
Age range years				
18–49 years	2838 (13.4)	2184 (12.6)	1493 (11.9)	6515 (12.8)
50–64 years	4185 (19.8)	3469 (20.1)	2458 (19.6)	10 112 (19.8)
65–74 years	5083 (24.0)	4203 (24.3)	3008 (24.0)	12294 (24.1)
75–84 years	5500 (26.0)	4471 (25.9)	3339 (26.6)	13310 (26.1)
>85 years	3551 (16.8)	2952 (17.1)	2250 (17.9)	8753 (17.2)
SES score				
Quartile 1	5020 (23.7)	6219 (36.0)	3299 (26.3)	14 538 (28.5)
Quartile 2	6011 (28.4)	4166 (24.1)	3716 (29.6)	13 893 (27.2)
Quartile 3	5533 (26.2)	3861 (22.4)	2973 (23.7)	12367 (24.3)
Quartile 4	4593 (21.7)	3033 (17.6)	2560 (20.4)	10 186 (20.0)
Comorbidities				
History of neoplastic disease [#]	3849 (18.2)	3212 (18.6)	2192 (17.5)	9253 (18.1)
Liver disease [#]	292 (1.4)	229 (1.3)	134 (1.1)	655 (1.3)
Congestive heart failure [#]	1993 (9.4)	1764 (10.2)	1279 (10.2)	5036 (9.9)
Cerebrovascular disease [#]	1574 (7.4)	1285 (7.4)	923 (7.4)	3782 (7.4)
Chronic renal disease [#]	1153 (5.5)	997 (5.8)	635 (5.1)	2785 (5.5)
Cardiovascular disease [¶]	4250 (20.1)	3716 (21.5)	2559 (20.4)	10 525 (20.6)
Pulmonary disease ⁺	4048 (19.1)	3455 (20.0)	2468(19.7)	9971 (19.6)
Diabetes mellitus [§]	3713 (17.6)	3278 (19.0)	2285 (18.2)	9276 (18.2)
Immunocompromised ^f	576 (2.7)	515 (3.0)	367 (2.9)	1458 (2.9)
Neurological disease ^{##}	457 (2.2)	403 (2.3)	288 (2.3)	1148 (2.3)
HIV infection [¶]	66 (0.3)	32 (0.2)	15 (0.1)	113 (0.2)
Treated at pulmonology	15 051 (71.1)	12 387 (71.7)	8905 (71.0)	36343 (71.3)
department				
Treated at teaching hospital	12 796 (60.5)	10 722 (62.1)	5075 (40.4)	28 593 (56.1)
Main clinical outcomes				
Admission	16 331 (77.2)	13 807 (79.9)	10230 (81.5)	40 368 (79.2)
ICU admission	1869 (8.8)	1395 (8.1)	1077 (8.6)	4341 (8.5)
30-day mortality	1827 (8.6)	1682 (9.7)	1119 (8.9)	4628 (9.1)

Outcomes of CAP: PSI Vs. CURB-65 in Routine Practice of Emergency Departments Kaal AG et al. ERJ Open Res 2023 May 2;9(3):00051-2023

TABLE 2 Multilevel analysis of outcomes of patients with community-acquired pneumonia using CURB-65 *versus* Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI)

	CURB-65	PSI	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Patients n	21 157	17 279		
Admission	16 331 (77.2)	13 807 (79.9)	0.81 (0.64-1.02)	0.81 (0.64-1.02)
Readmission [#]	410 (2.5)	329 (2.3)	1.03 (0.86-1.24)	1.02 (0.85-1.22)
Delayed admission [¶]	371 (7.7)	275 (7.9)	0.98 (0.79-1.21)	0.99 (0.80-1.22)
ICII admission ⁺	1869 (8.8)	1395 (8 1)	1 10 (0 93 1 31)	1 14 (0 96 1 35)
30-day mortality⁺	1827 (8.6)	1682 (9.7)	0.88 (0.81–0.96)	0.89 (0.83–0.96)

Data are presented as n (%) unless stated otherwise. Readmission was defined as patients who were discharged from the hospital but readmitted within 7 days. Delayed admission was defined as emergency department pneumonia patients initially treated as outpatients, but who were admitted to the hospital within 7 days. ICU: intensive care unit. [#]: percentage out of the total number of (delayed) admissions; [¶]: percentage out of the total number of patients.

Outcomes of CAP: PSI Vs. CURB-65 in Routine Practice of Emergency Departments

Kaal AG et al. ERJ Open Res 2023 May 2;9(3):00051-2023



FIGURE 2 Subgroup analysis for the primary outcome 30-day mortality in patients with community-acquired pneumonia: CURB-65 *versus* Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI). ICU: intensive care unit.

Limited Clinical Impact of Ultralow-Dose CT in Suspected CAP: OPTIMAC Trial

van Engelen TSR et al Open Forum Infect Dis 2023 Apr 20;10(5):ofad215

• Patients suspected of CAP were randomized:

- Ultralow-dose chest computed tomography (ULDCT): 261 patients
- Chest radiograph (CXR): 231 patients

• Main Message:

- No evidence of any antibiotic treatment policy change
- No different patient outcomes
- But:

In a subgroup of afebrile patients

 \rightarrow More patients diagnosed with CAP in the ULDCT group (P = .001)

- ULDCT, 106 of 608 patients
- CXR, 71 of 654 patients

BAL in Elderly Patients with CAP: Clinical Characteristics and Pathogen Analysis Guo RN et al. Immun Inflamm Dis 2023 Apr;11(4):e813

92 cases:

44 patients >75 year-old and 48 patients 65-74 year-old

The elderly over 75-yo with diabetes are:

- More likely to suffer from CAP
- More likely with mixed infections
- More likely to have larger lesions
- Prolonged hospital stays

- 35.42% vs. 63.64%, p = 0.007
- 6.25% vs. 22.73%, p = 0.023
 - 45.83% vs. 68.18%, p = 0.031
 - 39.58% vs. 63.64%, p = 0.020
- → Clinical symptoms & signs not so typical
- \rightarrow Infection is more serious
- \rightarrow Prediction of prognosis:
 - Hypoalbuminemia & high d-dimer

Factors affecting Hospital Discharge Outcomes in CAP: A Retrospective Epidemiological Study (2014-2021) Chen S et al Am J Med Sci 2023

- 1008 patients with CAP, 247 patients discharged as remission
- Multivariate logistic regression:
 - Independent ssociation of poor discharge outcomes All P<0.05
 - Age >65 years
 - Smoking history
 - Co-morbidities: COPD, chronic heart disease, diabetes, malignancy, cerebrovascular disease
 - Pleural effusion, hypoxemia, electrolyte disturbances
 - Severe pneumonia, respiratory failure
 - Protective factor
 - Pathogen-targeted therapy

OR: 0.32, 95% CI: 0.16-0.62

- $\cdot \rightarrow \rightarrow$
- CAP with defined etiology are more likely to be cured
- Accurate & efficient pathogen testing essential for admitted CAP



Fig. 2. Time to microbiology results.



- Pragmatic, randomised trial of 200 critically ill adults with pneumonia
 - 1:1 randomization to molecular testing at the point-of-care (mPOCT) or routine clinical care
 - **Primary outcome:** proportion of results-directed antimicrobial therapy

CAP:	85 patients (42 Vs. 43)
HAP:	69 patients (30 Vs. 39)
VAP:	46 patients (28 Vs. 18)

- Median [IQR] time to results (h)
 - 1.7 [1.6-1.9] Vs. 66.7 [56.7-88.5] (difference of -65.0 h, 95% CI -68.0 to -62.0; p < 0.0001)
- Pathogens detected therapy:
 - 71 Vs. 51 (51%) (difference of 20%, 95% CI 7 to 33; p = 0.004)
- **Results-directed therapy:** 80 Vs. 29 (80% Vs. 29%)
- Time to results-directed therapy:
- **De-escalation:**
- Time to de-escalation:

- 2.3 [1.8-7.2] Vs. 46.1 hours
 - 42 Vs. 8 (42% Vs. 8%)
 - 4.8 [2.4-13.0] Vs. 46.5 hours [26.3-48.6]
- Difference of -41.4 h, 95% CI -53 to -29.7; p < 0.0001)

Pathogens detected according to type of pneumonia.

	САР		НАР		VAP	
	mPOCT $n = 42$	Control $n = 43$	mPOCT $n = 30$	Control $n = 39$	mPOCT $n = 28$	Control $n = 18$
Any pathogen	25 (60)	20 (47)	20 (67)	18 (46)	26 (93)	13 (72)
Single pathogen	15/25 (60)	17/20 (85)	8/20 (40)	15/18 (83)	15/26 (58)	8/13 (62)
Multiple pathogens	10/25 (40)	3/20 (15)	12/20 (60)	3/18 (17)	11/26 (42)	5/3 (38)*
Frequency of detection of individual pathogens						
S. pneumoniae	1	0	2	2	1	1
S. aureus	6	6	7	0	2	3
H. influenzae	5	0	3	2	2	1
M. catarrhalis	0	0	1	0	0	0
H. parainfluenzae	0	1	0	0	0	1
L. pneumophilia	0	1	0	0	0	0
K. pneumoniae group	1	2	2	3	2	3
E. coli	3	1	6	3	6	1
S. marcescens	2	0	3	2	2	1
E. cloacae complex	1	0	1	2	1	0
K. aerogenes	1	1	2	0	0	0
K. oxytoca	2	0	1	1	5	1
S. maltophilia	0	0	0	1	0	0
P. mirabilis	1	0	0	1	3	1
Citrobacter spp	1	1	0	0	1	0
P. aeruginosa	5	1	3	3	15	3
Rhinovirus/enterovirus	3	2	1	0	0	0
RSV	1	0	0	1	0	0
Parainfluenza virus	1	0	0	0	0	0
Seasonal coronavirus [†]	0	0	2	0	0	0
SARS-CoV-2 [‡]	6	7	0	0	0	0

All data are presented as n (%). mPOCT, molecular point-of-care testing. CAP, Community acquired pneumonia. HAP, Hospital acquired pneumonia. VAP, ventilator associated pneumonia. RSV, respiratory syncytial virus.

* One culture reported as mixed coliforms.

[†] Seasonal human coronavirus includes; HKU1, 229E, NL63 and OC43. SARS-CoV-2, Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

[‡] SARS-CoV-2 is not a target on the FilmArray Pneumonia panel and was tested using laboratory PCR. This data applies only to initial diagnostic testing for this episode of pneumonia (i.e. for HAP/VAP developing subsequently in a patient hospitalised with COVID-19, the SARS-CoV-2 PCR result is not included, but is recorded with baseline characteristics)

MAJOR ARTICLE



A Phase 3 Study to Compare Delafloxacin With Moxifloxacin for the Treatment of Adults With Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (DEFINE-CABP)

Juan P. Horcajada,¹ Robert A. Salata,² Rodolfo Álvarez-Sala,³ Floarea Mimi Nitu,⁴ Laura Lawrence,⁵ Megan Quintas,⁵ Chun-Yen Cheng,⁶ and Sue Cammarata^{5,0}; for the DEFINE-CABP Study Group^{*}

¹Hospital del Mar, Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain, ²Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ³University Hospital la Paz, Madrid, Spain, ⁴Victor Babes Clinical Hospital of Infectious Diseases and Pneumophtisiology, Craiova, Romania, ⁵Melinta Therapeutics, Lincolnshire, Illinois, USA, and ⁶Firma Clinical Research, Hunt Valley, Maryland, USA

Background. The clinical and economic burden of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) is significant and is anticipated to increase as the population ages and pathogens become more resistant. Delafloxacin is a fluoroquinolone antibiotic approved in the United States for the treatment of adults with acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections. Delafloxacin's shape and charge profile uniquely impact its spectrum of activity and side effect profile. This phase 3 study compared the efficacy and safety of delafloxacin with moxifloxacin for the treatment of CABP.

Methods. A randomized, double-blind, comparator-controlled, multicenter, global phase 3 study compared the efficacy and safety of delafloxacin 300 mg twice daily or moxifloxacin 400 mg once daily in adults with CABP. The primary end point was early clinical response (ECR), defined as improvement at 96 (\pm 24) hours after the first dose of study drug. Clinical response at test of cure (TOC) and microbiologic response were also assessed.

Results. In the intent-to-treat analysis population (ITT), ECR rates were 88.9% in the delafloxacin group and 89.0% in the moxifloxacin group. Noninferiority of delafloxacin compared with moxifloxacin was demonstrated. At TOC in the ITT population, the success rates were similar between groups. Treatment-emergent adverse events that were considered at least possibly related to the study drug occurred in 65 subjects (15.2%) in the delafloxacin group and 54 (12.6%) in the moxifloxacin group.

Conclusions. Intravenous/oral delafloxacin monotherapy is effective and well tolerated in the treatment of adults with CABP, providing coverage for Gram-positive, Gram-negative, and atypical pathogens.

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier. NCT03534622.

Keywords. CABP; delafloxacin; fluoroquinolone; moxifloxacin; pneumonia.

Delafloxacin in CAP Lee A et al. Drugs 2022

	Isolates ^a	MIC ₉₀ range across	studies in mg/L	[% susceptible; FI	DA breakpoints]	
		Delafloxacin	Levofloxacin	Moxifloxacin	Ceftaroline	Ceftriaxone
Gram-positive isolates						
Staphylococcus aureus [11, 26, 34]	5867	0.004-0.25	>4		1	
Levofloxacin-resistant [34]	1252	1				
MSSA [11, 15, 26, 34, 35]	5293	0.004-0.12 [91.8]	2-4 [88.3]	1 [88.6]	0.25	
Levofloxacin-resistant MSSA [34]	213	1				
MRSA [15, 16, 26, 34]	2596	0.5–4	>4->16		1	
Levofloxacin-resistant MRSA [34]	1039	1				
Streptococcus pneumoniae [11, 13, 26, 35–37]	6536	0.015-0.03 [97.6]	1-2 [99.4]	0.25 [99.6]	0.12	0.5–1
MDR [11, 36–38]	431	0.015-0.03	1–2	0.25	0.12	2
Levofloxacin-resistant [37, 39]	203	0.12-0.5	>4	4	0.12	2
Penicillin nonsuseptible [36, 38]	1544	0.03	1–2	0.25		
Erythromycin nonsuseptible [36]	651	0.03	2	0.25		

Steroids & CAP



- April-December 2022 ESICM Guidelines
- August 2022

• April 2023

- Meduri GU, Intens Care Med, USA, "Critically III patients with severe CAP"→ methylprednisolone
- Pitre T et al. J Gen Intern Med Metanalysis

Hydrocortisone in Severe CAP: CAPE COD Trial (2015-2020 in France)

Dequin PF et al. NEJM 2023 May 25;388(21):1931-1941

Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients at Baseline.*		
Characteristic	Hydrocortisone (N=400)	Placebo (N = 395)
Median age (IQR) — yr	67 (58–77)	67 (58–78)
Sex — no. (%)		
Male	281 (70.2)	271 (68.6)

Inclusion Criteria:

Respiratory failure with the initiation of at least high-flow oxygen ICU admission Absence of septic shock No influenza

Median Pulmonary Severity Index (IQR)†	127 (102–153)	130 (103–150)
Distribution — no./total no. (%)		
Class I	5/396 (1.3)	4/392 (1.0)
Class II	15/396 (3.8)	15/392 (3.8)
Class III	45/396 (11.4)	47/392 (12.0)
Class IV	150/396 (37.9)	133/392 (33.9)
Class V	181/396 (45.7)	193/392 (49.2)

Hydrocortisone in Severe CAP CAPE COD Trial (2015-2020 in France) Dequin PF et al. NEJM 2023 May 25;388(21):1931-1941

Median SAPS II score (IQR)‡	37 (30–45)	38 (31–47)
Median SOFA score (IQR)∬	4 (3–6)	4 (3–6)
Treatment with vasopressors — no. (%)	41 (10.2)	51 (12.9)
Laboratory data		
C-reactive protein		
Median (IQR) — mg/dl	26.3 (11.7–35.6)	23.8 (11.7–35.0)
Value of >15 mg/dl — no./total no. (%)	208/298 (69.8)	215/312 (68.9)
Median procalcitonin (IQR) — ng/ml	3.2 (0.5–16.4)	4.1 (0.6–16.0)
Median cortisol (IQR) — nmol/liter	302 (24–785)	307 (25–697)
Timing of treatment		
Median interval from hospital admission to ICU admission (IQR) — hr	5.5 (2.8–10.9)	5.2 (2.4–10.9)
Median interval from ICU admission to initiation of trial agent (IQR) — hr	15.3 (7.0–20.5)	14.6 (5.9–20.5)

Hydrocortisone in Severe CAP CAPE COD Trial (2015-2020 in France) Dequin PF et al. NEJM 2023 May 25;388(21):1931-1941



Hydrocortisone in Severe CAP CAPE COD Trial (2015-2020 in France) Dequin PF et al. NEJM 2023 May 25;388(21):1931-1941

- 800 patients randomization \rightarrow trial stopped \rightarrow 795 patients
- Day 28 mortality in hydrocortisone Vs. placebo group:
 - 25 of 400 patients (6.2%) Vs. 47 of 395 patients (11.9%)
 - Absolute difference -5.6 percentage points: 95% CL -9.6 to -1.7.P = 0.006

Endotra	Bias:	he
- 40 of	PD of steroids: HD 200mg by continuous infusion (4-7d)	
– Haza	Early initiation of treatment	
lf not re	Septic shock excluded	8
- 55 of	<u>30% of Females</u>	
– Haza	Rate of Ashtma, COPD, Immunosuppression	
Adverse	Rate of definite etiology	

- Similar nospital-acquired intections and gastrointestinal piecuing,
- Hydrocortisone group ightarrow higher daily doses of insulin during the first week of treatment
- → Hydrocortisone had a lower risk of death by day 28

ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT Guidelines for the Management of severe CAP Martin-Loeches M et al Eur Resp J 2023

TABLE 1 Summary of research questions and recommendations	
Questions	Recommendations
Question 1: In patients with sCAP, should rapid microbiological techniques be added to current testing of blood and respiratory tract samples?	If the technology is available, we suggest sending a lower respiratory tract sample (either sputum or endotracheal aspirates) for multiplex PCR testing (virus and/or bacterial detection) whenever non-standard sCAP antibiotics are prescribed or considered (conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence)
Question 2: In hypoxaemic patients with sCAP, can either NIV or HFNO be used initially – rather than supplemental standard oxygen administration – to avoid intubation and reduce mortality?	 In patients with sCAP and acute hypoxaemic respiratory failure not needing immediate intubation, we suggest using HFNO instead of standard oxygen (conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence) NIV might be an option in certain patients with persistent hypoxaemic respiratory failure not needing immediate intubation, irrespective of HFNO (conditional recommendation, low quality of evidence)
Question 3: When using initial empirical therapy for sCAP, should a macrolide or fluoroquinolone be used as part of combination therapy, to reduce mortality and adverse clinical outcomes?	We suggest the addition of macrolides, not fluoroquinolones, to beta-lactams as empirical antibiotic therapy in hospitalised patients with sCAP (conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence)
Question 4: In patients with sCAP, can serum PCT be used to reduce the duration of antibiotic therapy and improve other outcomes in comparison to standard of care not guided by serial biomarker measurements?	We suggest the use of PCT to reduce the duration of antibiotic treatment in patients with sCAP (conditional recommendation, low quality of evidence)

ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT Guidelines for the Management of severe CAP Martin-Loeches M et al Eur Resp J 2023

Received: 12 April 2022 Accepted: 1 Dec 2022

Question 5: Should oseltamivir be added to standard therapy in patients with sCAP and confirmed influenza?	 We suggest the use of oseltamivir for patients with sCAP due to influenza confirmed by PCR (conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence) When PCR is not available to confirm influenza, we suggest the use of empirical oseltamivir during the influenza season (conditional
Question 6: Does the addition of steroids to antibiotic therapy in specific sCAP populations lead to better outcomes in comparison to when steroid therapy is not used?	In patients with sCAP, we suggest the use of corticosteroids if shock is present (conditional recommendation, low quality of evidence)
to which sterold therapy is not used.	
Question 7: Does the use of a prediction score for drug-resistant pathogens lead to more appropriate therapy and improved outcomes (mortality, treatment failure, duration of antibiotic therapy, prolonged ICU stay)?	We suggest integrating specific risk factors (eventually computed into clinical scores) based on local epidemiology and previous colonisation to guide decisions regarding drug-resistant pathogens (excluding those immunocompromised) and empirical antibiotic prescription in sCAP patients (conditional recommendation, moderate quality of evidence)

sCAP: severe community-acquired pneumonia; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; HFNO: high-flow nasal oxygen; PCT: procalcitonin; ICU: intensive care unit; CAP: community-acquired pneumonia.

How Contemporary are Guidelines Efforts

Low-dose Methylprednisolone Treatment in Critically III Patients with Severe CAP Meduri GU et al. Intensive Care Med 2022 Aug; 48: 1009-23

• Randomized trial, 2012-2016

- 42 Veterans Affairs medical centers US
- 586 patients with severe CAP in the ICU, (mostly Males)

• Treatment:

- Methylprednisolone iv (40 mg/die for 7 days, tapering in 20 days)
- Placebo

• **Primary outcome = 60-day mortality:**

- 16% vs. 18%, P = 0.61
- Methylprednisolone Vs. control group

Prespecified analyses →

No subgroup identified which benefited from glucocorticoids

Corticosteroids in CAP (1):

Systematic Review, Pairwise and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis Pitre T et al. J Gen Intern Med. 2023 Apr 19:1-14

• Pair-wise & dose-response metanalysis

- REML-GRADE-ICEMAN methodology
- 18 eligible studies that included 4661 patients

• Corticosteroids effect:

- Probable reduction of mortality in more severe CAP
 - RR 0.62 [95% CI 0.45 to 0.85]; moderate certainty)
- Possible null effect in less severe CAP
 - RR 1.08 [95% CI 0.83 to 1.42]; low certainty

• Non-linear dose-response relationship with mortality

- Optimal dose: 6 mg of dexamethasone (or equivalent) for 7 days
 - RR 0.44 [95% 0.30 to 0.66]

Corticosteroids in CAP (2):

Systematic Review, Pairwise and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis Pitre T et al. J Gen Intern Med 2023 Apr 19:1-14

• Probable reduction of risks (moderate certainty):

- Invasive mechanical ventilation
 - RR 0.56 [95% CI 0.42 to 74]
- ICU admission
 - RR 0.65 [95% CI 0.43 to 0.97]
- Possible reduction (low certainty):
 - Duration of hospitalization and ICU stay
- Possible increase (low certainty):
 - Risk of hyperglycemia (RR 1.76 [95% CI 1.46 to 2.14])

S. pneumoniae Serotyping & Antimicrobial Susceptibility: Assessment for Vaccine Efficacy in Canada after PCV13 Zhanel GG et al JAC 2023



Shading represents serotypes covered. Individual colors indicate additional serotype coverage in that vaccine.

Figure 1. Serotypes contained in various conjugated and polysaccharide vaccines. Shading represents serotypes covered. Individual colours indicate additional serotype coverage in that vaccine. This figure appears in colour in the online version of *JAC* and in black and white in the print version of *JAC*.

 The data highlight the evolution of S. pneumoniae under pressure by vaccination and antimicrobial usage, as well as vaccine coverage

Conclusions

- CAP remains a serious healthcare problem
- Multiple areas of concern
 - Diagnosis & rapid diagnosis
 - Imaging & BAL
 - Admission / ICU Admission / MRDx-ODx
 - Treatment / de-escalation
- Stewardship
- Steroids & CAP & COPD & ...